Law (1932) Department
(Education)

MS SERIES.

G.O. No.	1741
DATED	30. 11. 32

[Despatch Abstract.]

District Educational Council -

South Kanara - Teaching grants - 1931-32 - reduction in allotment - protests recorded.

G.O. 3681 16-11 C.F.

Educational **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 *Registered* ..... 19 .

Subject.

District Educational Council, South Kanara - Reduced teaching grant - 1931-32.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 1939 B/32

Station Madras , *Dated the* 12th November 1932 19

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A., C.I.E.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW EDUCATION Departme

Sirxx Ref: Letter R.O.C.No. 362-D.E.C-32, dated 6th September 1932 from the President, District Educational Council, South Kanara.

Submitted.

2. According to the present procedure in distributing grants to District Educational Councils the allotment placed at the disposal of a District Educational Council is based on the assessed grant i.e. grant calculated on the number and qualifications of teachers alone and not on the assigned grant i.e.grant which makes provision for increases and decreases for efficiency or inefficiency. In 1930-31, the allotments placed at the disposal of District Educational Councils were based on the assessed grant plus a 10% increase in the case of boys' schools and 35% increase in the case of girls' schools. For

for the year(1930-31) the total budget appropriation to District Educational Councils under teaching grant which was originally Rs 56 lakhs was reduced to Rs 4994300/- on account of Rs the 3.5% cut made by Government in the grants to District Educational Councils as a measure of retrenchment and on account of the reduction of grants to night schools. It was found that the total demand for all districts under assessed grant exceeded the budget appropriation available and a general reduction by one per cent in the assessed grant was necessary in order to restrict the demand from the District Educational Councils to the net modified budget grant available.

3. Figures hereunder show the details in regard to assessed and assigned grants and the grant recommended by the Director for the year 1931-32 in respect of day schools in the South Kanara District.

1. Assessed grant	Grant recommended by inspecting officers	Percentage of increase	Grant recommended by the Director
Rs	Rs		Rs
170405	212922	24.9	181961.
13476	19882	47.6	
183881	232804		181961

p3a1 (2)

5. Night schools. The allotment for teaching grants night schools was reduced by 75% in Government Memo No. 3299 C-1, Law Education, dated 26th October 1931. The District Educational Officer recommended only Rs 560 against an assessed grant of Rs 2394 which represented reduction of 76%. It may be observed from the statemen appended to my letter R.O.C.No. 1560 B/31, dated 23rd February 1932 that a similar reduction was made in the case of several other districts. In the case of the following districts e.g. there was a reduction of 75% and over.

Malabar	76.0%
Madura	75.0%
Trichinopoly	78.8%
Madras	75.0%
Guntur	80.0%
Kistna	77.0%
Vizagapatam	77.0%

South Kanara was thus not the only district that suffered this cut.

for Director of Public Instruction

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received .....19 *Registered*.....19

Subject.

District Educational Council,South Kanara - Reduc
Teaching grants for the year
1931-32 - Further
suggestions.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Station.....Mangalore.....,

From (Name)M.R.Ry.Diwan Bahadur N.Subba Rao,Avergal.,
(Designation)President,Dt.Educational Council,S.K

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law(Education) Department
Through the Director of Public Instruction.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the Memorial of the Teacher managers' Association of this district, for favourable consideration. I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. A reference on the same subject, that is, reduced grants, was addressed by this Council in R.C.No.150-D.E.C. 32 dated 12.4.1932 and the Government in Memorandum No. 1538-C-1 dated 4.5.1932 disposed it off with the remark that it was not possible to sanction any additional appropriation.

that this Council has received rather an equitable treatment thereby causing heavy loss to the teacher-managers to the tune of 23 $\frac{1}{3}$ % in the case of day schools and about 86% in the case of night schools. The scrutiny of the list would show that this Council got only Rs 1.81.961/- out of a demand of Rs 2,37,341 or less than 20 %.while the Honourable the Minister for Education was pleased to assure the Teacher-Managers deputation, during his tour here, that the reduction for the Presidency would not exceed 3½ per cent. Unspent balance of last year, in some districts, went up to thousands as much as Rs 13,000/- in Ganjam, Rs 10,475/- in Nellore, Rs 8,118/- in Godavari East, Rs 6,570/- in Vizagapatam and Rs 5,488/- in Anantapur showing that these Councils were not keen on scrutinising their demands and could bank upon large sums for the year under reference, while a reduction of 10% had to be made in this district to meet our demand. A kind perusal of the difference between the assessed and assigned grants in the last 2 or 3 years would tell the same tale and if the average decrease is not more than 3½% as the Honourable Minister promised,

something after distribution, there is evidently somethin somewhere requiring the notice of the Department and the Government.

4. This remark is further borne out by that fact that the Honourable Minister from his place in the Legislative ..... assured that grants up to 75% would be cut down in the case o bad night schools. This district got only Rs 525/- out of a d of Rs 3,000/- and odd. The Inspecting Officers did not speal of the few schools here and while one finds that a backward district like Kurnool got Rs 19,000/-, Godavari East Rs 15,000/ Vizagapatam Rs 12,000/-, not to speak of amounts ranging from to 7000 secured by other districts while the Nilgris alone st hand to hand with South Kanara by securing only Rs 600/-.

5. If some of these districts again manage to keep some unspent this year too, districts like South Kanara are likely suffer the most. For, some of the districts would get amoun not actually wanted by them, while districts last in the list only get crumbs left by the districts coming earlier in the l This matter needs investigation and amounts left unspent by certain districts ought naturally to go to the relief of the districts that suffered most instead of being utilised to red the next year's allotment.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Through the President, District Educational Council,

Honoured Sir,

I, as the President of the South Canara Teacher Manager's Association, South Canara District and Member District Educational Council, beg most humbly and respectfully to accord you my heartiest welcome on the occasion of your visit to our District, and place before you the following grievances which the aided schools suffer on account of the inordinate reduction of grants, that is 23 1/3 % this year. In G.ONo.304/29-2-32 containing a tabular statement of grants given to the different districts for day and night schools.

The expenditure in this Presidency on aided day schools is Rs.4854300/-. For Night Schools Rs.140000/- in all Rs.49,94,300/-. Of this fourteen districts have shown a surplus of Rs.56948/- and six districts were given advance grants amounting to Rs.263000/- Deducting these two figures the net expenditure on grants last year reaches a figure of Rs.4674352/-. But in our district the grant claimed was Rs.237341/- but the actual receipts were Rs.55380/- less than the amount assigned. Thus the reduction comes to 23 1/3% for Day schools. For night Schools the grant assigned was Rs.3731/- and reduction of 86% took away Rs.3206/-. From these figures we are led to infer

showed a surplus on the other hand. Our district fell a victim to a grave reduction of 23 1/3% this year, the greatest cut ever recorded, for which the financial condition of our district does in no way possess strength to bare.

(2) Grants for last year, that is as per G.O. No.315/28-3-31, eighteen districts have shown a surplus of Rs.293162/- while our district was suffering from successive cut for years together.

(3) Out of the total grant of Rs.241072/-, the amount received by our district for day and night schools together reached a figure of Rs.182483/-. Enabling Government to save nearly ¼. If the same principle is applied to other districts, Government would have saved Rs.12½ lacks, but the actual saving to Government was only Rs.5 Lacs. The majpr portion of which is Rs.58000/-. I leave it to your honour to judge whether this huge cut to our district is justified, Considering the poor condition of our district.

(4) The Honourable Minister of Education, in his sympathetic reply to our memorial dated 18-1-32, openly declared that the reduction will not go beyond 3 or 3½%. But ~~the~~ it actually rose to 24%, which was quite unexpected and shocked us all, whereas the cut for the Madras district was only 14½% which is comparably better than our district.

(5) The Honourable Minister was of opinion that the expenditure on night schools is a wastage out of Rs.140000/- sanctioned for the whole district for night schools, out district was fortunate enough

~~to be~~

to be content with only Rs.525/-. I do not think that this figure gives room for any wastage. In reply to an interpelation in the Council, Government were kindly pleased to assure night schools that efficiently run will never suffer a cut and others too will suffer a cut of only 75%. In spite of this assurance, the actual cut was 86% which has almost sucked the life of several efficiently run night schools.

(6) In accordance with the strict orders of the Government, inspecting officers, while assigning grants proposed a reduction ranging from 5 to 20% in the allotted grants. Over this there was a further reduction which ultimately reduced the grants to 1/3 of what the schools received in the previous years.

(7) While other districts are favoured with advance grants our schools have uniformly ~~being~~ been suffering from inordinate reduction for the past several years and are therefore in a moribund state. In conclusion I most humbly and respectfully pray that uniform treatment might be given to all the districts alike, and the injustice wrought for several years may be graciously rectified and reduced grants given supplemental assurance ~~given~~ for the future. For which act of kindness and grace I on behalf of all the Schools feel highly thankful.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K.G Ramaiyyashetty

President, District Teacher Manager Association, S.K.
Member District Educational Council

Extract from the Proceedings of the South Kanara Distric Educational Council, Mangalore, Dated 17th August 1932.

PRESENT:

M.R.Ry.Diwan Bahadur N.Subba Rao,Avgl.,President.

M.R.Ry. D. Namasivayam,Avgl.,M.A.,L.T.,District Educational Officer,S.K.

M.R.Ry. U. Karunakara Menon,Avgl., B.A.,

M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde,Avgl.,B.A.,B.L.,

M.R.Ry. Rao Sahob G.S.Lasrado,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. K. Sadasiva Adiga,Avgl., B.A., B.L.,

M.R.Ry. E. Vasudevaiya,Avgl., B.A., B.L.,

A. S. Karat,Esquire., B.A.,L.T.,

Syed Mir Haider Sahib Bahadur.

M.R.Ry. M. Shiva Rao,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. K. Muddanna Shetty,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. P. Upendra Srinivasa Nayak,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. H. Sadasivaiya,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. A. Subbanna Shetty,Avgl.,

Rev.K.R.Karunakar, B.A., B.L.,B.D.,

M.R.Ry. K. R. Guru,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. K. Ganapayya Shetty,Avgl.,

M.R.Ry. K. Subbannayya,Avgl.,

Mrs. Shambavi Bai R.Punja, B.A.,

Mrs. M. S. Madhava Rao.

--

x x x

77. Read appeal petition dated 7.8.1932 of the President of the South Kanara District Teacher-Managers' Association, requesting that the Director of Public Instruction, may again be requested to sanction additional allotment of - teaching grants for the year 1931-32.

-

Forwarded with a strong recommendation for favourable consideration.

Secrt. 11-c (a)

11

Education DEPARTMENT.

	Date.
When received for Fair-copying	
When copied ..	
When examined ..	
When signed ..	
When despatched ..	

D E·C

South Kanara – Teaching gran 1931–32 – reduction in allotment – protests recorded.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 19

" " " " ..... " 19

READ ALSO :—

C. No. ..... From the P. D·E·C, South Kanara, No 362 DEC/32, dated 6–9– 1932

" " 3661c " Endt. of the DPI Roc no 4939 B/32, dt 12–11– 1932

" " ..... " " ..... " 19

ORDER.

MS

No 1741 dated 30. 11. 1932.

Ho 1-3 Cf

A copy of the Director's endt. read above, is communicated to the DEC, South Kanara. The Govt. concur in the remarks contained therein.

Ho 2 Cf.

26/11 MS 28/11

To P. D·E·C, South Kanara (a II do)

" DPI (900)